2009R01422/ADL/JTE

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Criminal No. 13-

v.

18 U.S.C. § 371

WILLIAM DAILEY

### INFORMATION

The defendant having waived in open court prosecution by indictment, the United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey charges:

- 1. At all times relevant to this Information:
- Biodiagnostic Laboratory Services, LLC ("BLS") was a clinical blood laboratory headquartered in Parsippany, New Jersey that, among other things, performed tests on the blood specimens of patients referred to BLS by doctors, and then billed payors and others for those tests and related services.
  - Defendant WILLIAM DAILEY worked for BLS. b.
- Co-conspirator DN was an owner and the C. President of BLS, and generally directed and supervised defendant WILLIAM DAILEY's activities at BLS.
- The Medicare Program ("Medicare") was a federal program that provided free or below-cost health care benefits to certain individuals, primarily the elderly, blind, and disabled. Medicare was a "Federal health care program" as

defined in Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(f) and a "health care benefit program" as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 24(b). Individuals who receive benefits under Medicare are commonly referred to as "beneficiaries."

- e. The Medicare Part B program was a federally funded supplemental insurance program that provided supplementary Medicare insurance benefits for individuals aged sixty-five or older, and certain individuals who are disabled. The Medicare Part B program paid for various medical services for beneficiaries, including blood tests and related services.
- f. BLS was an approved Medicare provider, and Medicare paid BLS for performing blood tests and related services on beneficiaries who were referred to BLS by physicians participating in Medicare.
- g. Horizon Blue Cross/Blue Shield ("Blue Cross/Blue Shield") was a corporation in the business of providing health care insurance to individuals and entities under various insurance policies (the "insureds"), pursuant to which Blue Cross/Blue Shield paid BLS for blood tests and related services performed for insureds who had been referred to BLS by physicians participating in the Blue Cross/Blue Shield provider network.

### The Conspiracy

2. From at least in or about the fall of 2006 until in or about the fall of 2009, in Morris County, in the District of New Jersey, and elsewhere, defendant

### WILLIAM DATLEY

did knowingly and intentionally conspire and agree with coconspirator DN and others to commit offenses against the United States, that is:

- a. to knowingly and willfully offer and pay remuneration, directly and indirectly, overtly and covertly, in cash and in kind, that is, kickbacks and bribes, to physicians in order to induce referrals of patients to BLS for the furnishing and arranging for the furnishing of items and services, that is, the referral by physicians of patient blood specimens to BLS for testing and related services, for which payment was made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare, contrary to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(2)(A); and
- b. to knowingly and intentionally use and cause to be used the mail with intent to promote, manage, establish, carry on, and facilitate the promotion, management, establishment, and carrying on of an unlawful activity, that is, commercial bribery, contrary to N.J.S.A. §2C:21-10 and Title 18, United States Code, Section 1952(a)(3) and, thereafter, to

\*\*\*

### Object of the Conspiracy

unlawful activity.

defendant WILLIAM DAILEY, co-conspirator DN, and others to obtain millions of dollars of additional revenue and profits by paying kickbacks and bribes to physicians for the referral of blood specimens from their patients to BLS for testing and related services, which testing and related services BLS would then bill for and use to obtain payment from Medicare, Blue Cross/Blue Shield, and other payors.

### The Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

4. It was a part of the conspiracy that one or more of the co-conspirators caused bribes from BLS to be paid to one or more physicians through the use of sham Facility Use and Service Agreements (the "Sham Agreements"), which were intended to conceal the illegal nature and purpose of those bribes.

### Overt Acts

In furtherance of the conspiracy and in order to effect the objects thereof, the defendant WILLIAM DAILEY and his co-conspirators committed or caused the commission of the following overt acts in the District of New Jersey and elsewhere:

5. In or about February 2009, defendant WILLIAM

DAILEY caused a check to be delivered to PO, a physician then practicing medicine in the State of New Jersey, which was paid to induce PO to refer the blood specimens of PO's patients to BLS for testing and related services. The check, bearing #7614, was drawn on a BLS account in the amount of \$1,200 and signed by coconspirator DN.

6. In or about March 2009, defendant WILLIAM DAILEY caused a check to be delivered to DB, a physician then practicing medicine in the State of New Jersey, which was paid to induce DB to refer the blood specimens of DB's patients to BLS for testing and related services. The check, bearing #7840, was drawn on a BLS account in the amount of \$2,560 and signed by co-conspirator DN.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

### FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

- 1. The allegations contained in this Information are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of noticing forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7).
- 2. Upon conviction of the offense contrary to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(2)(A) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 1952(a)(3), in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, the defendant, WILLIAM DAILEY, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7), all right, title, and interest in the sum of \$548,405, which constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from gross proceeds traceable to the offense of conviction.
- 3. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:
- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
  - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty, the United States shall be

### 

entitled, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7), to forfeiture of any other property of the defendant, WILLIAM DAILEY, up to the value of the property described in the preceding paragraph.

PAUL J. FISHMAN

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

CASE NUMBER:

### United States District Court District of New Jersey

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**;** 

## WILLIAM DAILEY

# INFORMATION

18 U.S.C. §371

PAUL J. FISHMAN U.S. ATTORNEY NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

ANDREW LEVEN
JACOB T. ELBERG
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEYS
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY
973.645.2700